

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/136,244	08/19/98	BEIZER		M	76179DAN	
-		LM01/0718	, 7		EXAMINER	
MILTON S SALES				HO,R		
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY				ART UNIT	PAPER N	UMBER
PATENT LEGA ROCHESTER N	AL STAFF NY 14650-220	01		2771		Č
				DATE MAILED:	07/18/0	O.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/136,244

Applicant(s)

Belzer et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

RUAY LIAN HO

Group Art Unit 2771



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 19, 1998</u>	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay\835 C.D. 11; 453 O.	s, prosecution as to the merits is closed G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expirelonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 37 CFR 1.136(a).	the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>1-58</u>	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by th The proposed drawing correction, filed on is [The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. All	ne Examiner. approved
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	

Art Unit: 2771

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakagawa et al. (USPN 5,819,295).

Regarding claim 1:

Each and every element of claim 1 is disclosed by <u>Nakagawa</u> et al., note: the claimed 'at least one structured workfolder for storing and organizing electronic documents' is shown in col.2, 1.30-40,

the claimed 'workfolder containing a contents element for storing primary data, said contents element containing one or more placeholders for indicating documents expected to be added to said workfolder via said placeholder' is shown in col.2, 1.30-56.

Regarding claim 2:

The claimed 'said contents element further contains one or more section elements for categorizing said primary' is shown in col.2, l.30-56.

Regarding claim 3:

Art Unit: 2771

The claimed 'said section elements contains one or more of a placeholder, a link to a document, and a nested section element' is shown in col.7, l.18-26.

Regarding claim 4:

The claimed 'said link identifies a document embedded in said workfolder' is shown in col.8, 1.19-65.

Regarding claim 5:

The claimed 'said link identifies a document external to said workfolder' is shown in col.7, l.18-26.

Regarding claim 6:

The claimed 'said contents element further contains at least one link to a document' is shown in col.7, 1.18-26.

Regarding claim 7:

Claim 7 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 4.

Regarding claim 8:

Claim 8 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 5.

Regarding claim 9:

The claimed 'said placeholder further comprises a name field for indicating the data content of the document to be placed in the workfolder and a file object hook to which a primary data document can later be linked' is shown in col.7, l.18-56.

Regarding claim 10:

1

Page 4

Art Unit: 2771

Claim 10 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 9.

Regarding claim 11:

The claimed 'said placeholder further comprises a status element indicating a status for a document linked to said placeholder' is shown in col.7, l.18-56.

Regarding claim 12:

Claim 12 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 11.

Regarding claim 13:

The claimed 'a deadline element including a field indicating a time period for taking a particular action associated with said placeholder' is shown in col.5, 1.32-43.

Regarding claim 14:

Claim 14 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 13.

Regarding claim 15:

Claim 15 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 1.

Regarding claim 16:

Claim 16 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 1.

Regarding claim 17:

Claim 17 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 1.

Regarding claim 18:

Claim 18 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claims 10-11.

Regarding claim 19:

Art Unit: 2771

Claim 19 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 12.

Regarding claim 20:

Claim 20 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 13.

Regarding claim 21:

Claim 21 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 13.

Regarding claim 22:

The claimed 'said workfolder further comprises meta-data related to the primary data stored under said contents node' is shown in col.7, 1.57 to col.8, 1.12.

Regarding claim 23:

The claimed 'a history element for storing a log of changes made to said workfolder' is shown in col.9, 1.10-35.

Regarding claim 24:

Claim 24 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 23.

Regarding claim 25:

The claimed 'user-definable data fields relating to one or more properties of said workfolder' is shown in col.8, l.51-65.

Regarding claim 26:

Claim 26 is rejected for the similar rationale given for claim 12.

Regarding claim 27:

Art Unit: 2771

The claimed 'one or more embedded computer program scripts which provide functions related to said workfolder when said scripts are executed by a computer' is shown in col.1, l.24-35.

Regarding claims 28-36:

Claims 28-36 are rejected for the similar rationale given for claims 1-27.

Regarding claims 37-58:

Claims 37-58 are rejected for the similar rationale given for claims 1-27.

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruay L. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 305-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 10 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Yen Vu, can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-9051 or (703) 308-5403.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

RUAY LIAN HO PRIMARY EXAMINER